



# The William Blum Lectures

#41 – Ralph E. White - 2000



The 41<sup>st</sup> William Blum Lecture  
Presented at the 87<sup>th</sup> AESF Annual Convention (SUR/FIN 2000)  
in Chicago, Illinois  
June 26, 2000

## Mathematical Modeling of Electrodeposition

by  
Dr. Ralph E. White  
Recipient of the 1999 William Blum  
AESF Scientific Achievement Award  
with  
V.R. Subramanian  
Doctoral Student  
University of South Carolina





# The William Blum Lectures

#41 – Ralph E. White - 2000

The 41<sup>st</sup> William Blum Lecture  
Presented at the 87<sup>th</sup> AESF Annual Convention (SUR/FIN 2000)  
in Chicago, Illinois  
June 26, 2000

## Mathematical Modeling of Electrodeposition

by  
Dr. Ralph E. White  
Recipient of the 1999 William Blum  
AESF Scientific Achievement Award  
with  
V.R. Subramanian  
Doctoral Student  
University of South Carolina

**Editor's Note:** Originally published as *Plating and Surface Finishing*, 87 (9), 42-45 (2000), this article is re-publication of the 41<sup>st</sup> William Blum Lecture, presented at the 87<sup>th</sup> AESF Annual Convention (SUR/FIN 2000) in Chicago, Illinois on June 26, 2000.

### ABSTRACT

*Mathematical modeling can be used to predict things of interest to electroplaters and surface finishers. For example, one can use mathematical modeling to predict the current density distribution on a piece to be plated. This distribution will be a function of the geometrical setup electrodes in the bath and the solution properties of the bath. This feature of mathematical modeling could be used to predict the optimum placement of the piece and other structures in the bath that would produce the desired product. Another use of mathematical modeling is to predict the composition of species in a plating bath, which may be useful for determining the effect of complexing agents on the concentrations of principal species in a plating bath, for example. Mathematical modeling can also be used to predict the composition of a plated alloy as a function of the concentration of species in the bath and the operating conditions of the bath. In addition, mathematical modeling can be used to predict the effect of a specified duty cycle for pulse plating. Some aspects of mathematical modeling of electrodeposition and its uses are presented.*

### Introduction

Mathematical modeling of electrodeposition is a process that yields information about the plating system of interest. The process consists of first determining the composition of the plating bath of interest by using thermodynamic information. The second step consists of specifying or determining the electrochemical reactions that occur on the electrodes and the chemical reactions that occur on the electrodes and in the bath. The third step consists of specifying the governing equations (material balance equations) for the concentrations of the species in the bath. Next, reaction-rate expressions must be specified for the electrochemical reactions that occur at the electrodes. Finally, the geometry of the plating bath must be specified. Since the material balance equations for species in the bath depends on fluid flow, the flow conditions in the tank must be specified. In some cases, the material balance equations for the concentration of species in the bath and the momentum balance equations for the fluid flow must be solved simultaneously because the electrodeposition process can give rise to density changes at the surface of the working electrode. These density changes cause the hydrodynamics in the bath to change. Sparging and stirring of the bath also affect the flow conditions at the work piece. The hydrodynamic effects are sometimes lumped together and described by a hydrodynamic boundary layer. Similarly, the mass-transfer effects in plating baths are often lumped together and represented by a diffusion layer. These concepts of boundary layers and diffusion layers have been used to simplify the mathematical modeling of electrodeposition.

### Background

Our work<sup>1</sup> on the mathematical modeling of electrodeposition began with a study of electrodeposition of copper from an acid chloride bath containing iron. We used the diffusion layer thickness on a rotating disk electrode to normalize the distance from

the disk. We included ionic species complexed with chloride ions, multiple electrode reactions, and a homogeneous reaction between the complexed ferric and cuprous ions in the diffusion layer. This gave rise to a reaction plane in the diffusion layer. We also included in the model the effect of ionic migration in the solution, and a reaction-rate expression for the electrochemical reactions that includes the relative activity of iron in the deposit but not copper, since it was assumed that solid copper would be the dominant species in the deposit. We were able to predict the conditions under which very little iron was deposited. This study was limited to the center of the disk only. To determine the effect of position on the disk, we<sup>2</sup> solved for the current density distribution across the disk surface for copper deposition from an acid bath on a rotating disk electrode. Next, we extended<sup>3</sup> our work on mathematical modeling of alloy to remove the restriction that the deposit was mostly copper with a small amount of iron. We did this by expanding the dependence of the exchange current density and the open-circuit potential for each electrodeposition reaction to include the composition of each species in the alloy (see Equation 27 of Ref. 3). This new electrochemical reaction rate expression (*i.e.*, extended Butler-Volmer equation) enabled us to predict the composition of the alloy as a function of the composition of the plating bath, system parameters, and potential driving force for the reaction.

The next step in the development of our framework for mathematical modeling of electrodeposition was to extend our modeling work to include galvanostatic pulse plating<sup>4</sup> and the thickness of the deposit. The electrodeposition of a NiCr alloy by pulse plating was used to illustrate the utility of our simulation model. According to the predictions of the model, a periodic steady state is established after about four pulse cycles. This simulation was used to show quantitatively that hydrogen evolution can be reduced by using pulse plating instead of direct-current plating.

Our pulse plating modeling<sup>4</sup> also included the effect of ionic migration, which was shown to be important near the surface of the working electrode. The predicted concentration of  $\text{Cr}^{+2}$  at the electrode surface depends on whether or not the effect of ionic migration is included in the simulation. In many cases, the concentration of  $\text{Cr}^{+2}$  is predicted to be lower at the surface when the effect of ionic migration is ignored. This is due to the unrealistically large predicted overpotential causing  $\text{Cr}^{+2}$  to be reduced to chro.

Boundary Conditions	
Insulators	$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} = 0$
Anode	$\phi = 1$
Cathode	$i = i_{o,A}(\exp(0.5\eta) - \exp(-0.5\eta)) + i_{o,B}(\exp(0.5\eta - 0.2)) - \exp(-0.5(\eta - 0.2))$




Figure 1 - Predicted potential distribution in a Hull cell during alloy plating.

Fig. 1 presents the potential distribution for a Hull cell with the physical conditions and operating conditions given in the above table. Figure 2 presents the potential distribution for a cylindrical anode in the center of the bearing for the same operating conditions. Figure 3 presents the potential distribution with superimposed anodes for the current density distributions for the

Our work on pulse plating of alloys was preceded by work published by Verbrugge and Tobias.<sup>5,6</sup> Unfortunately, they did not include the effect of ionic migration in their transport equation, but they did include the effect of short-range, nearest-neighbor interaction in their CdTe deposit. This solid state interaction is important and should be included in models for the electrodeposition of alloys that do not form ideal, solid-state alloys such as CdTe. We have also published other articles<sup>7-11</sup> on mathematical modeling of electrodeposition that may be of interest to the readers.

### Current density distributions

Prediction of current density distributions is useful in designing the electrode configurations for plating baths. Earlier,<sup>12-14</sup> we presented methods for predicting secondary current density distributions. These methods are based on the finite element method. Unfortunately, the computation time for the finite element method has in the past been too long to be useful for rapid prototyping of electrode configurations. However, a relatively new software package (FEMLAB15) based on the finite element technique and MATLAB16 is now available for two-dimensional (two spatial coordinates) problems and current density distributions for a variety of geometrical configurations, operating conditions, and kinetic parameters. For example,

current density versus overpotential relationship that exists for a composite of electrochemical reactions which includes the current density due to a mass transfer limited reactant (see Equation 20 of Ref. 17).

FEMLAB software can also be used to predict the partial current density associated with a binary alloy deposition process for hypothetical components A and B. For illustration purposes, assume that it is reasonable to describe the deposition of these two species (A and B) according to the following expressions:

$$i_A = i_{0,A}(\exp(0.5\eta) - \exp(-0.5\eta)) \quad (1)$$

and

$$i_B = i_{0,B}(\exp(0.5(\eta - 0.2)) - \exp(-0.5(\eta - 0.2))) \quad (2)$$

where  $\eta = \phi_C - \phi$ .




Figure 2 - Potential distribution in a bearing cell during alloy deposition.




Figure 3 – Potential distribution with superimposed anodes for composite electrochemical reactions.

Comparing Equations 1 and 2 reveals that the potential difference between the open-circuit potentials of A and B is 0.2. The total current density is equal to the sum of the potential current densities (*i.e.*,  $i_A$  and  $i_B$ ) and must equal the gradient of the potential in the solution at the electrode surface:

$$(4) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} n_x + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} n_y = \frac{1}{\kappa} [i_A + i_B]$$

The current density distribution for this binary alloy deposition case for the Hull cell is shown in Fig. 4 and for the bearing case in Fig. 5. It should be noted that FEMLAB can be used easily for nonlinear kinetic expressions such as the Butler-Volmer equation.

Recently,<sup>18</sup> Subramanian and White presented a semianalytical method for predicting current density distributions for two-dimensional systems. Their method is very useful for rapid prototyping because the method utilizes a solution procedure that provides a general solution for a given geometric configuration. The solution obtained can be used to determine easily the current density distribution for different operating conditions of the bath (different set potentials of the electrode, *e.g.*), different boundary conditions (linear and nonlinear), and different geometries in the *y* direction. This capability of the semianalytical method is also useful for doing rapid nonlinear parameter estimation for determining the kinetic parameters (exchange current density, *e.g.*), given the configuration of the electrodes, operating conditions, and current density distributions data. Recently, we extended the semianalytical method to provide a means of predicting the thickness of the deposit as a function of time for a given system. Figure 6 presents the growing metal deposit in a working electrode predicted using our semianalytical method facing an anode (working electrode is half the size of anode) embedded with insulators.<sup>19</sup>

### Summary

Our mathematical modeling of electro-deposition has been reviewed briefly. We began in 1977 by considering a steady-state one spatial coordinate problem for copper deposition in the presence of iron. We presented later a more complete method for predicting deposited alloy composition for both steady cases<sup>3</sup> and for galvanostatic pulse plating.<sup>4</sup> Next, we reviewed the methods we have used in the past to predict current density distributions for single-reactant cases and showed here how to extend current density predictions to include binary alloy deposition. We also mentioned our semianalytical technique<sup>18</sup> for




Figure 4 - Predicted partial current densities in a Hull cell.




Figure 5 - Predicted partial current densities along the cylindrical bearing anode.




Figure 6 - Growing metal deposit predicted by semianalytical method.

determining current density distributions, which we have recently extended to include the capability of predicting the deposit thickness as a function of time. In conclusion, we hope that our contributions and those of other workers in the area of mathematical modeling of electrodeposition will be used to improve the processes of electroplating and surface finishing. It should now be possible to test many plating ideas on a computer (through mathematical modeling) before investing time in chemicals, electrodes, and tanks.

### Nomenclature

- $i$  current density (dimensionless)
- $i_0$  exchange current density (dimensionless)
- $\phi$  dimensionless potential
- $\eta$  overpotential (dimensionless)
- $\kappa$  conductivity (dimensionless)

### References

1. R.E. White, J.A. Trainham, J. Newman and T.W. Chapman, "Potential Selective Deposition of Copper from Chloride Solutions Containing Iron," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **124**, 669-676 (1977).
2. R.E. White and J. Newman, "Simultaneous Reactions on a Rotating-Disk Electrode," *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, **82**, 173-186 (1977).
3. S. Chen, K.M. Yin and R.E. White, "A Mathematical Model for the Electrodeposition of Alloys on a Rotating Disk Electrode," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **135**, 2193-2200 (1988).
4. K.M. Yin and R.E. White, "A Mathematical Model of Pulse Plating on Rotating Disk Electrode," *AIChE Journal*, **36**, 187-196 (1990).
5. M.W. Verbrugge and C.W. Tobias, "A Mathematical Model for the Periodic Electrodeposition of Multicomponent Alloys," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **132**, 1298 (1985).
6. M.W. Verbrugge and C.W. Tobias, "The Periodic, Electrochemical Codeposition of Cadmium and Tellurim," *AIChE Journal*, **33**, 628 (1987).
7. R.Y. Ying, P.K. Ng, Z. Mao, and R.E. White, "Mathematical Modeling of Electrodeposition of Copper-Nickel Alloys from Citrate Solutions of a Rotating Disk Electrode," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **135**, 2964-2971 (1988).



8. K.-M. Yin, J.-H. Wei, J.-R. Fu, B.N. Popov, S.N. Popova and R.E. White, "Mass Transport Effects on the Electrodeposition of Iron-Nickel Alloys in the Presence of Additives," *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, **25**, 543-555 (1995).
9. M. Ramasubramanian, S.N. Popova, B.N. Popov, R.E. White and K.-M. Yin, "Anomalous Codeposition of Fe-Ni Alloys and Fe-Ni-SiO<sub>2</sub> Composites Under Potentiostatic Conditions," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **143**, 2164-2172 (1996).
10. M. Ramasubramanian, B.N. Popov, R.E. White, and K.S. Chen, "Solution Equilibrium Characteristics of Electroless Copper Deposition on Thermally-activated Palladium-catalysed Polyimide Substrates," *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, **28**, 737-743 (1998).
11. M. Ramasubramanian, B.N. Popov, R.E. White, and K.S. Chen, "A Mathematical Model for Electroless Copper Deposition on Planar Substrates," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **146**, 111-116 (1999).
12. E.C. Dimpault-Darcy and R.E. White, "Secondary Current Distributions Using TOPAZ2D and Linear Kinetics," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **135**, 656 (1988).
13. F.A. Jagush and R.E. White, "Predicted Secondary Current Distributions for Linear Kinetics in a Modified Three-Dimensional Hull Cell," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **137**, 1848 (1990).
14. R.E. White, F. Jagush, and H.S. Burney, "Three-Dimensional Current Distributions in a Bipolar, Chlor-Alkali Membrane Cell," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **137**, 1846 (1990).
15. <http://www.femlab.com21>
16. <http://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab22>
17. J.-F. Yan, S.N.R. Pakalapati, T.V. Nguyen, and R.E. White, "Mathematical Modeling of Cathodic Protection Using the Boundary Element Method with a Nonlinear Polarization Curve," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **139**, 1932 (1992).
18. V.R. Subramanian and R.E. White, "A Semianalytical Method for Predicting Primary and Secondary Current Distributions: Linear and Nonlinear Boundary Conditions," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **147**, 1636 (2000).
19. R. Alkire, T. Berghat and R.L. Sani, "Predicting Electrode Shape Change with Use of Finite Element Methods," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, **125**, 1981 (1978).

### About the authors

*This piece was written at the time Dr. White was announced as the recipient of the 1999 Scientific Achievement Award. The biographical sketch for Mr. Subramanian was provided from the original publication in 2000.*

The AESF Scientific Achievement Award is the Society's most prestigious award. Its purpose is to recognize those whose outstanding scientific contributions have advanced the theory and practice of electroplating, metal finishing and allied arts; have raised the quality of products and processes; or have advanced the dignity and status of the profession.



**Professor Ralph E. White**, University of South Carolina, was selected as the 1999 recipient of the award. The announcement was made during SUR/FIN 1999 - Cincinnati in June. Dr. White received a B.S. in engineering from the University of South Carolina in 1971, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from the University of California at Berkeley in 1973 and 1977, respectively. He joined the faculty at Texas A&M University in 1977 and was promoted to Associate Professor in 1981, and then Professor in 1985. In 1993, he began serving as the chairman of the Department of Chemical Engineering at the University of South Carolina, and was named a Westinghouse Distinguished Scientist. In 1995, Dr. White created the state-authorized Center for Electrochemical Engineering.

Dr. White served as the treasurer of The Electrochemical Society from 1990-1994. In 1999, he was elected a Fellow of the Society. He has published more than 180 refereed journal articles, edited or coedited more than 35 books on electrochemistry and has received research awards from the AESF (serving as project manager) and from the University of South Carolina, as well as the Electrodeposition Division and the Battery Division of The Electrochemical Society. He is listed in *American Men and Women of Science*, 1992-1997; *Who's Who in Science and Engineering*, 1996, 1998 & 1999; and *Who's Who in the World*, 1998 & 1999.

A member of AESF's Dallas-Ft. Worth Branch, Dr. White also serves on AESF's Research Board. In 1993, his paper "Characterization of Amorphous Ni-Cr-P Electrodeposits" (with coauthors Terry R. Guilinger, James E. Voytko, CEF, and Ken-Ming Yin), won the AESF Silver Medal Award for best paper.



## The William Blum Lectures



### #41 – Ralph E. White - 2000

A letter submitted to the AESF Scientific Achievement Award Committee nominating Dr. White for the award stated that "the contributions by Dr. White and his coworkers have truly made a difference in surface finishing research." As the 1999 award recipient, Dr. White will deliver next year's William Blum lecture during SUR/FIN 2000 in Chicago.



**Vekat R. Subramanian** is a doctoral student at the University of South Carolina. He received a B.S. in chemical and electrochemical engineering from the Central Electrochemical Research Institute in India. His research involves mathematical modeling of electrochemical systems: lithium ion batteries, metal hydride batteries and current density distributions. His research interests include symbolic / semianalytic / numerical solution of boundary value problems using Maple, FEMLAB, etc., and non-linear parameter estimation from experimental curves.



Following his delivery of the 41<sup>st</sup> Blum Lecture during SUR/FIN 2000 in Chicago, Dr. Ralph White, left, received a plaque honoring him with the 1999 AESF Scientific Achievement Award. AESF President Paul Frank presented the prestigious award.