



108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)

4th Quarterly Report January-March 2021 AESF Research Project #R-121

Development of a Sustainability Metrics System and a Technical Solution Method for Sustainable Metal Finishing

by Yinlun Huang* Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science Wayne State University Detroit, Michigan, USA

Editor's Note: This NASF-AESF Foundation research project report covers the fourth quarter of project work (January-March 2021) at Wayne State University in Detroit. The success of this project depends on data. The data needed comes from industry and other stakeholders. The reader is encouraged to complete the survey form discussed in this report. It is available as a printable pdf in the online version at http://short.pfonline.com/NASF21Aug1 and can be submitted to Dr. Huang at yhuang@wayne.edu.

Overview

It is widely recognized in many industries that sustainability is a key driver of innovation. Numerous companies, especially large ones that made sustainability as a goal, are achieving clearly more competitive advantage. The metal finishing industry, however, is clearly behind others in response to the challenging needs for sustainable development.

This research project aims to:

- 1. Create a metal-finishing-specific sustainability metrics system, which will contain sets of indicators for measuring economic, environmental and social sustainability,
- 2. Develop a general and effective method for systematically sustainability assessment of any metal finishing facility that could have multiple production lines, and for estimating the capacities of technologies for sustainability performance improvement,
- 3. Develop a sustainability-oriented strategy analysis method that can be used to analyze sustainability assessment results, identify and rank weaknesses in the economic, environmental, and social categories, and then evaluate technical options for performance improvement and profitability assurance in plants, and
- 4. Introduce the sustainability metrics system and methods for sustainability assessment and strategy analysis to the industry.

This will help metal finishing facilities to conduct a self-managed sustainability assessment as well as identify technical solutions for sustainability performance improvement.

Detroit, MI 48202 Office: (313) 577-3771 E-mail: yhuang@wayne.edu

Dr. Yinlun Huang, Professor
 Dept. of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science
 Wayne State University





108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)

Progress Report (Quarter 4)

1. Student participation

Abdurrafay Siddiqui, a Ph.D. student, has been guided by the P.I. to work on the project. His research activities are reflected in Section 3 of this report.

2. Scheduled project tasks for Quarter 4

The main technical tasks for this quarter are listed below:

- 1. Refine the sustainability indicators in Sets A and B to generate a document about the sustainability metrics system and a quideline for the evaluation of the metrics system.
- To initiate the development a sustainability assessment method, with a main effort on the development of an Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) based methodology.

3. Project activities and progress

3.1 Refinement of sustainability indicator sets and development of a survey document

We have reviewed all the proposed sustainability indicators that were divided into two sets: a primary one and a secondary one. Some of those and the parameters required for evaluating indicator values are refined so that the definition, coverage and importance become clearer and more accurate. The creation and refinement of the indicators are all based on the P.I.'s research and industrial experience in the past two decades. However, to ensure the sustainability metrics system is truly useful, acceptable and applicable in the metal finishing sector in the future, the indicators in the metrics system should be thoroughly reviewed by industrial experts. Therefore, the P.I.'s group has generated a survey form, namely SMS Survey Form, where SMS stands for Sustainability Metrics System. The survey form (as an Excel file) lists all the indicators in both sets, with a definition or explanation for each indicator (see the following table). The survey form includes two additional two columns: one column for evaluators to enter a rating number between 1 and 5, with 1 for the least importance and 5 for the highest importance, and the other column for evaluators to enter their comments or suggestions for each indicator. Furthermore, there is a space for additional comments or suggestions in the end.

We need the NASF/AESF Foundation's help in distributing the survey form to the following types of businesses: (1) electroplating, (2) other types of metal finishing, (3) chemical supply, (4) OEMs for metal finishing, (5) technology, (6) consulting, as well as (7) customers, communities or other stakeholders, government, state/local agencies, professional organization, etc. We hope to have responses from people with different job responsibilities and expertise, such as management, process engineering/production, EHS (environmental, health and safety), technology/research, supply/sales, education, customer relation, etc. A complete form is provided at the end of this report.

3.2 Development of an AHP-based sustainability assessment method

The sustainability assessment method that is being developed consists of seven main steps: (1) selection of sustainability indicators in the economic, environmental and social categories, (2) selection of weighting factors that are to be associated with indicators, (3) determination of parameters that are needed for evaluating each indicator, (4) collection and analysis of plant and other data, (5) calculation and normalization of indicator values, (6) assessment of categorized sustainability (*i.e.*, economic, environmental and social sustainability) as well as overall sustainability, and (7) analysis of assessment results with recommendations. In the third quarter report, we described a few mathematical formulas for calculating sustainability values in the economic (E), environmental (V) and social (L) categories, as well as the overall sustainability (S) value; they are:





108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)

$$E = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_E} a_i E_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_E} a_i}, \quad V = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_V} b_j V_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_V} b_j}, \quad L = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_L} c_k L_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_L} c_k} \text{ and } S = \frac{\|(\alpha E, \beta V, \gamma L)\|}{\|(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\|}$$

where E_i , V_j and L_k are individual normalized indicators in different sustainability categories; N_E , N_V and N_L are the total numbers of selected indicators in different sustainability categories; a_i , b_j and c_k are weighting factors associated with different indicators; and α , β and γ are weighting factors associated with each categorized sustainability. All weighting factors will take values between 1 and 10, with 10 as the most important and 1 as the least.

The assessment results will be greatly influenced by the selected values of a large number of weighting factors that are assigned to indicators. Except for a very few cases, the values of weighting factors cannot be theoretically calculated. As stated in the third quarter report, we decided to adopt the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method, which is a scientific method developed by Thomas Saaty, a member of US National Academy of Engineering (Saaty, 1980, 2008). It is a structured technique for organizing and analyzing complex decisions, based on mathematics and psychology. The method allows individual experts' experiences to be utilized to estimate the relative magnitudes of factors through pair-wise comparisons. This is the main reason for us to develop the SMS survey form and to invite industrial experts to provide their views, in terms of giving ratings to various sustainability indicators. We hope in the next quarter or so, we will be able to receive a good number of responses from the industry, so that we can conduct a statistical analysis on the feedback. We will formulate the weighting factor determination process, and test it using some case study problems. If we have enough responses from the industry, we should be able to report this research work in subsequent reports.

Recently, we submitted an Abstract, entitled "Development of a Sustainability Metrics System for the Assessment and Improvement of Metal Finishing Facilities' Sustainability Performance, for presentation at the SUR/FIN Conference in Detroit, November 2-4, 2021. In this presentation, we will introduce a metal-finishing-specific sustainability metrics system, which is composed of three sets of indicators for measuring over forty aspects of sustainability. Case studies will be illustrated to show the practical usage of the sustainability metrics system in metal finishing plants.

We also submitted an abstract, entitled "Reinforced Sustainability Assessment and Decision Making in the Post-COVID-19 Manufacturing Era," for presentation at the AIChE Annual National Meeting in Boston, MA in mid-November. At the conference, we plan to introduce an AHP-based sustainability assessment and decision-making method, with an applied study on small and medium sized electroplating facilities for sustainable development in the post COVID-19 manufacturing era.

4. Plan for the 5th quarter of the project (4/1/21 - 6/30/21)

The primary effort in the coming quarter will be on the test of the sustainable metrics system. We need NASF/AESF Foundation's strong support in distributing the survey form to industrial experts as widely and as many as possible. We will then collect the industrial feedback on the sustainability indicators and conduct a statistical analysis. A number of metal finishing facilities will then be contacted for possible collaboration, mainly for data collection and analysis. Meanwhile, we will continuously work on the decision making method. There could be a number of theoretical challenges, especially related to data and information uncertainty, that need to be studied.

5. References

- T.L. Saaty, The Analytic Hierarchy Process: Planning, Priority Setting, Resource Allocation, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
- T.L. Saaty, "The analytic hierarchy and analytic network measurement processes: applications to decisions under risk," *European J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1 (1), 122-196 (2008).
- A. Siddiqui and Y. Huang, *Reinforced Sustainability Assessment and Decision Making in the Post-COVID-19 Manufacturing Era*, submitted for presentation at the AIChE Annual National Meeting, Boston, MA, Nov. 7-11, 2021.





108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)

6. Past project reports

- 1. Quarter 1 (April-June 2020): Summary: NASF Report in Products Finishing; NASF Surface Technology White Papers, 84 (12), 14 (September 2020); Full paper: http://short.pfonline.com/NASF20Sep1
- 2. Quarter 2 (July-September 2020): Summary: *NASF Report* in *Products Finishing*; *NASF Surface Technology White Papers*, **85** (3), 13 (December 2020); Full paper: http://short.pfonline.com/NASF20Dec1
- 3. Quarter 3 (October-December 2020): Summary: NASF Report in Products Finishing; NASF Surface Technology White Papers, 85 (7), 9 (April 2021); Full paper: http://short.pfonline.com/NASF21Apr1.

7. About the authors



Dr. Yinlun Huang is a Professor at Wayne State University (Detroit, Michigan) in the Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science. He is Director of the Laboratory for Multiscale Complex Systems Science and Engineering, the Chemical Engineering and Materials Science Graduate Programs and the Sustainable Engineering Graduate Certificate Program, in the College of Engineering. He has ably mentored many students, both Graduate and Undergraduate, during his work at Wayne State.

He holds a Bachelor of Science degree (1982) from Zhejiang University (Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China), and M.S. (1988) and Ph.D. (1992) degrees from Kansas State University (Manhattan, Kansas).

He then joined the University of Texas at Austin as a postdoctoral research fellow (1992). In 1993, he joined Wayne State University as Assistant Professor, eventually becoming Full Professor from 2002 to the present. He has authored or co-authored over 220 publications since 1988, a number of which have been the recipient of awards over the years.

His research interests include multiscale complex systems; sustainability science; integrated material, product and process design and manufacturing; computational multifunctional nano-material development and manufacturing; and multiscale information processing and computational methods.

He has served in many editorial capacities on various journals, as Co-Editor of the ASTM Journal of Smart and Sustainable Manufacturing Systems, Associate Editor of Frontiers in Chemical Engineering, Guest Editor or member of the Editorial Board, including the ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering, the Journal of Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy, the Journal of Nano Energy and Power Research. In particular, he was a member of the Editorial Board of the AESF-published Journal of Applied Surface Finishing during the years of its publication (2006-2008).

He has served the AESF and NASF in many capacities, including the AESF Board of Directors during the transition period from the AESF to the NASF. He served as Board of Directors liaison to the AESF Research Board and was a member of the AESF Research and Publications Boards, as well as the Pollution Prevention Committee. With the NASF, he served as a member of the Board of Trustees of the AESF Foundation. He has also been active in the American Chemical Society (ACS) and the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE).

He was the 2013 Recipient of the NASF William Blum Scientific Achievement Award and delivered the William Blum Memorial Lecture at SUR/FIN 2014 in Cleveland, Ohio. He was elected AlChE Fellow in 2014 and NASF Fellow in 2017. He was a Fulbright Scholar in 2008 and has been a Visiting Professor at many institutions, including the Technical University of Berlin and Tsinghua University in China. His many other awards include the AlChE Research Excellence in Sustainable Engineering Award (2010), AlChE Sustainable Engineering Education Award (2016), the Michigan Green Chemistry Governor's Award (2009) and several awards for teaching and graduate mentoring from Wayne State University, and Wayne State University's Charles H. Gershenson Distinguished Faculty Fellow Award.

Abdurrafay Siddiqui is a Ph.D. student at Wayne State University and has been guided by Prof. Yinlun Huang to work on the project.





108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)

8. Appendix

The survey form, "Evaluation of the Proposed Sustainability Metrics for Metal Finishing," without the 1-to-10 rating columns, is provided below:

Proposed SMS Survey Form: Evaluation of the Proposed Sustainability Metrics for Metal Finishing

| Sustainability | _ | | stainability Metrics for Metal Finishing |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Category | Subcategory | Indicator | Definition or Explanation |
| E: Economic Sustainability | E-1: Profit, Value and Tax | E-1-1: Value Added (\$/yr) E-1-2: Value Added per Direct | Based on the difference between the product price to consumers and the manufacturing cost Average amount each employee adds in value to the |
| | | Employee (\$/yr) E-1-3: Net Profit Margin (%/\$) | Company Based on the difference between the income from produce sale after tax and the production cost |
| | | E-1-4: Net Profit per Direct Employee (\$/yr) E-1-5: Tax Paid as a Percentage of | Average amount of profit each employee makes for the company Amount of tax paid as a percentage of Net Income |
| | | NIBT (%) E-1-6: Return on Average Capital | Before Tax (NIBT) Amount of money received back with respect to the |
| | E-2: Investments | Employed (\$/yr) E-2-1: Percentage increase in capital Employed (%/yr) | average capital employed Increase of average capital employed from last year |
| | | E-2-2: Percentage of New Employees (%/yr) | Percentage of new employees hired in the company per year |
| | | E-2-3: Percentage of Training vs Payroll Expense (%) E-2-4: Investment for Employee's | Amount of money spent on training of employees as a percentage of payroll expense Amount of money spent on employee education and |
| | | Education/Training (\$) E-2-5: Investment on New Technology (%/yr) | training regarding important aspects of their jobs Percent increase spent on new technology from last |
| | E-3: Technology Advancement | E-3-1: Production Increment Percentage per Dollar Investment on New Technology (%/\$-new tech) | Amount of production increase from last year vs the amount of money invested on new technologies since last year |
| | | E-3-2: Production Increment Percentage per Dollar Investment on Technology Improvement (%/\$- existing tech) | Amount of production increase from last year vs the amount of money invested on existing technology improvement since last year. Existing technology improvement does not include investment on new technologies |
| | | E-3-3: Production Quality Improvement Percentage per Dollar Investment on New Technology (%/\$- new tech) | Quality of production improvement from last year vs the amount of money invested on new technologies since last year |
| | | E-3-4: Production Quality Improvement Percentage per Dollar Investment on Technology Improvement (%/\$-existing tech) | Quality of production improvement from last year vs the amount of money invested on existing technology improvement since last year. Existing technology improvement does not include investment on new technologies |
| | | E-3-5: Waste Reduction Percentage per Dollar Investment on new Technology (%/\$-new tech) | Amount of waste reduced from last year vs the amount spent on new technologies since last year |
| | | E-3-6: Waste Reduction Percentage per Dollar Investment on Technology Improved (%/\$-existing tech) | Amount of waste reduced from last year vs the amount spent on existing technology improvement since last year. Existing technology improvement does not include investment on new technologies |
| | E-4: Production and Product Quality | E-4-1: Percentage of Product Delivered on Time (%) E-4-2: Product Defect Rate During | Percent of product that was delivered on time based on total products delivered per year Amount of defected product vs the total amount of |
| | | Production (%) E-4-3: Product Return Rate After Shipment (%) | product made per year Amount of product returned after shipment vs the amount of products shipped per year |
| | I | | amount of products snipped per year |
| V: Environmental Sustainability | | V-1-1: Chemical Use in Production per Value Added (lb/\$) | Amount of chemical use in production per dollar of value added per year |



Surface Technology White Papers 108 (8), 1-6 (August 2021)



| U-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-2: Water V-3: Energy V-3: Facing of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-4: Water (%) V-4: Water (%) V-4: Water (%) V | | | V-1-2: Chemical Use in Production per | Amount of chemical use in production per dollar of |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| V-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-1: Patring Solution Use per Value Added (IbS) V-1-8: Plating Solution Use per Value Added per year V-1-8: Other Material Use per Dollar of Potale Stales (IbS) V-1-8: Other Material Use per Dollar of Potale Stales (IbS) V-1-8: Other Material Use per Dollar of Potale Stales (IbS) V-2-1: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3-1: Energy Use Per Dollar of Potale Stales (IbS) V-3-2: Natural Gas and Oil Use per Pollar of Stales (IbS) V-3-3: Energy V-3-4: Natural Gas and Oil Use per Dollar of Stales (IbS) V-3-4: Natural Gas and Oil Use per Pollar of Stales (IbS) V-3-4: Natural Gas and Oil Use per Pollar of Stales (IbS) V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Use Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (IbS) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (IbS) V-4-2: Wastewater Treatment Studge per Value Added (IbS) V-4-3: Non-Hozardous Waste Generated in Production per Value Added (IbS) V-4-4: Non-Hozardous Waste Generated per Value Added (IbS) V-4-5: Non-Hozardous Waste Generated per Value Added (IbS) V-4-5: Non-Hozardous Waste Generated per Value Added (IbS) L-1-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-3: Society L-3: Sumber of Caciedents in Workplace per year L-3: Society L-3: Sumber of Caciedents in Workplace per year L-3: Society L-3: Sumber of Caciedents in Workplace per year L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Sumber of Caciedents in Workplace per year L-3: Society L-3: So | | | | |
| V-1: Materials (Excluding Fuel and Water) V-14 Plating Solution Use per Value Added (IbS) V-15 Plating Solution Use per Dollar of Product Sales (IbS) V-16 Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-2: Water V-3: Energy V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generated per Value Added (IbS) V-4: Waste Waste Company Energy Energy Energy Energy for Everange of Language of Energy E | | | | |
| V-1-4. Plating Solution Use per Value Added (16/5) V-1-5. Plating Solution Use per Dollar of Plating solution use per dollar of value added per year V-1-6. Other Material Use per Dollar of Product Sales (16/5) V-2-1. Fresh Water Use in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (16/5) V-2-2. Used Water Reused in Production before Treatment (%) V-2-2. Used Water Reused in Production before Treatment (%) V-2-3. Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-3. Energy V-3-4. Short-Production Energy Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-3-4. Non-Production Energy Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-3-4. Non-Production Energy Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-4-1. Spent Solutions per Value Added (16/5) V-4-1. Waste Generated in Production per Value Added (16/5) V-4-1. Waste Generated per Value Added (16/5) V-4-1. Wastevater Teatment Sludge per Value Added (16/5) V-4-1. | | | | |
| Added (B/S) V-1-5 Plating Solution Use per Dollar of Product Sales (B/S) V-1-6 Other Material Use per Dollar of Product Sales (B/S) V-2-1 Fresh Water Use in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (B/S) V-2-1 Fresh Water Use in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (B/S) V-2-2 Used Water Revealed Product Sales (B/S) V-2-2 Used Water Revealed Product Sales (B/S) V-2-3 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-3 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-4 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-5 Energy V-3-5 Energy V-3-5 Energy V-3-6 Electricity Use per Dollar of Sales (MMBus/S) V-3-5 Energy Use Among All Energy (%) V-3-6 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-6 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-6 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-7 Electricity Use per Dollar of Sales (MMBus/S) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within the plant of Sales (RWS) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within the Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within the Plant (%) V-3-8 Fraction of Water Recycled Within the Plant (%) V-4-1 Water Generation and Energy Among All Energy (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy Consumed (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy Consumed (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy Consumed (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy Consumed (%) V-4-1 Waster Generated in Production Energy Among All Energy Consumed (%) V-4-1 Waster Generation and Effluents Effluents L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-2: Safety and Electricity Waster Generated in Produc | | | | |
| V-1-5; Plating Solution Use per Dollar of Product Sales (BbS) V-1-6; Other Material Use per Dollar of Product Sales (BbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of other material use per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of fresh water use in production per valve dollar of product sales (bbS) Amount of water recepted within the plant Amount of water recepted within the plant Amount of sales (MmBmE) Amount of water recepted within the plant Amount of water recepted within | | | | |
| of Product Sales (Ib/S) V-1-6: Other Metrain Use per Dollar of Product Sales (Ib/S) V-2-1: Fresh Water Use in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (Ib/S) V-2-2: Used water Kussed in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (Ib/S) V-2-2: Used water Kussed in Product Sales (Ib/S) V-2-2: Used water Kussed in Product Sales (Ib/S) V-2-3: Exaction of Water Recycled Within Plann (%) V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3: Energy Institute of Sales (IM/BIU/S) V-3-3: Clean Energy Use per Dollar of Sales (IK/W/S) V-3-3: Clean Energy Use Among All Energy (%) V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy (%) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Waste Generation and Efflicits Efficients V-4-1: Waste Generation and Efficients U-4-2: Wastewater Treatment Studge per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Maraurdous Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated Re-fiducation and training vs total particular per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated Re-fiducation and training vs total particular per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated Re-fi | | and Water) | | |
| V-2: Water V-2: Water Researd in Production per Dollar of Product Sales (1b/S) V-2: Lised Water Researd in Production before Treatment (%) V-2: Fleetwrich yet per Dollar of Sales (MMBru/S) V-3: Energy Energy (26) V-3: Energy Willing But of natural gas and oil use per dollar of product sales per year Hillion Btu of natural gas and oil use per dollar of product sales per year Hording Energy Consumed Energy (26) V-4: Waste Generation and Energy Annong Million Btu of natural gas and oil use per dollar of product sales per year Amount of senergy do fused water rescycled within the plant Kilowatts of electricity use per dollar of product sales per year Hillion Btu of natural gas and oil use per dollar of product sales per year Amount of senergy do fused water researd in production per dollar of product sales per year Amount of senergy and sales per year Amount of water sales per year Amount of wate | | | | |
| of Product Sales (lb/S) V-2: Water V-3: Energy Water V-3: Ckan Energy Use per Dollar of Sales (MMBtwS) V-3: Ckan Energy Use Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-3: Ckan Energy Use Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-4: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Waster Generation and Effluents V-4: Waster Generation and Effluents V-4: Waster Generation and Effluents V-4: Waster Generated or Product Sales (lb/S) V-4-1: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-4: Waster Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Waster Generated Re-Education and training vs total partolle expenses per year V-4-1: Waster Generated Re-Education and training vs total partolle expenses per year V-4-1: Was | | | V 1.6. Other Meterial Use non Dellan | |
| V-2; Water V-3; Encrison of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3; Encrison of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-3; Electricity Use per Dollar of Sales (KW/S) V-3; Natural Gas and Oil Use per Dollar of Sales (MMBu/S) V-3; Clean Energy Wes Among All Energy (%) V-3; Clean Energy Wes Among All Energy (%) V-4; Waste Generation and Effluents V-4; Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace Lyry L-2: Number of Poecess Safety Reviews (/r) L-2: Number of Poecess Safety Reviews (/r) L-2: Number of Poecess Safety Reviews (/r) L-2: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (lf/S) V-2: Indirect Community Benefit (Syr) L-3: Indirect Comm | | | | |
| Production Sales (Ib/S) V-2: Water V-2: Used Water Reused in Production Per Reused in Production per Veral Reused in Product sales per year V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3: Energy V-3: Energy (See Among All Energy (See Among All Energy (See Among All Energy Consumption (%)) V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4: Hazardous Waste Generated in Production Per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4: Waste Generated Per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4: Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) L-1: Benefits as Percentage of Payord Expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payord lexpenses per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community With careinogenic health conditions | | | | |
| Production before Treatment (%) V-23: Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) V-31: Electricity Use per Dollar of Sales (KW/S) V-32: Natural Gas and Oil Use per Dollar of Sales (MBBus/S) V-33: Clean Energy Use Annon All Energy (%) V-34: Non-Production Energy Annon All Energy (os) V-41: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-42: Wastevater Generated in Production Product in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-43: Wastevater Treatment Studge per Value Added (lb/S) V-44: Hazardous Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-44: Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-44: Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-45: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-45: Non-Haza | | | per Dollar of Product Sales (lb/\$) | product sales per year |
| Production before Treatment (%) V-2-3: Fraction of Water Recycled Within Plant (%) | | | V-2-2: Used Water Reused in | Percentage of used water reused in production per |
| Within Plant (%) V-3: Energy W-3-3: Clean Energy Use Among All Energy Consumed in Energy Consumed all energy consumed all energy consumed all energy consumed energy consumed energy consumed energy consumed all energy consumed energy consum | | | | year before treatment of waste |
| V-3: Energy V-3: Clean Energy Use Among All Energy (%) V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy (onsumption (%) V-4: Spent Solutions per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-3: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-4: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (Ib/S) L-1: Benefits as Percentage of Payroll Expense (%) L-1-1: Benefits as Percentage of Payroll Expense (%) L-1-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of sakcholder meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (Syr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Load Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Load Community (/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Load Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Load Community (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per dollar of Number of geal actions per dollar of Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Percentage of water recycled within the plant |
| V-3: Energy V-3: Energy Use Among All Energy Consumption (%) V-4: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Espent Solutions per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Espent Solutions per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Espent Solutions per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Wastewater Treatment Sludge of Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb'S) V-4: Wastewater Treatment Sludge of Value Added per year Amount of nazardous waste generated per dollar of Value Added per year Amount of nazardous waste generated per dollar of Value Added per year Amount of nazardous waste generated per dollar of Value Added per year L-1: Work Related Re-Education and/or Training (%) L-1: Work Related Re-Education and/or Training (%) L-1-1: Work Related Re-Education and training Vs total payroll expenses per year L-1-1: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/r) L-2: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (l'S) L-2: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (l'S) L-2-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/ry) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/ry) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/ry) L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Local Community Per year L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Number of complaints from the local community Per year L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Number of complaints from toustomers per year L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Number of complaints from toustomers per year L-3-1: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | V-3-1: Electricity Use per Dollar of | , , , |
| V-3: Energy V-3: Clean Energy Use Among All Energy Consumed V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy consumed V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy consumed V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Workplace L-1-1: Workplace Workplace L-1-1: Workplace Workplace L-1-1: Workplace L-1-1: Workplace L-1-1: Workplace | | | V-3-2: Natural Gas and Oil Use per | Million Btu of natural gas and oil use per dollar of |
| V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among All Energy Consumption (%) Percentage of energy not used in production vs all energy Consumption (%) Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-2: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-3: Wastewater Treatment Sludge Amount of wastewater treatment per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-3: Wastewater Treatment Sludge Amount of wastewater treatment sludge used per dollar of value added per year V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year L-1-1: Benefits as Percentage of value Added (lb/S) Enerits to employees as a percentage of total payroll expenses per year L-1-1: Promotion Rate (%) Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year L-1-1: Fromotion Rate (%) Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year L-1-1: Promotion Rate (%) Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Number of promotions vs total hours worked per year Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Number of process safety reviews per year L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Number of process safety reviews per year L-2-3: Indirect Community with a carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Number of complaints from the local community ber year L-3-1: Number of Complain | | | V-3-3: Clean Energy Use Among All | Percentage of energy derived from "clean" sources vs |
| All Energy Consumption (%) V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents L-1: Workplace L-1: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-3: Society All Energy Consumption (%) V-4-1: Spent Solutions per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-2: Wastewater Generated in production per dollar of value added per year Amount of wastewater treatment sludge used per dollar of value added per year Amount of hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of inazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Benefits to employees as a percentage of total payroll expenses per year Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Working hours lost us total hours worked per year Number of promotions vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety Number of accidents in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of femplaints from the local community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from | | | V-3-4: Non-Production Energy Among | |
| V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation per Value Added (lb/S) V-4: Wastewater Generated in Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4: Wastewater Treatment Sludge used per dollar of value added per year Amount of wastewater treatment sludge used per dollar of value added per year Amount of hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year L-1-3: Workplace (%) L-1-3: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Vorking hours lost vs total hours worked per year L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year L-2-2: Number of Process Safety Number of process safety reviews per year L-2-2: Human Health Burden Carcinogenic per Value Added (/s) Number of accidents in the workplace per year L-3-3: Number of Stakeholder Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of complaints from the local community per year L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Number of complaints from customers Number of equal actions per dollar of Nu | | | All Energy Consumption (%) | energy consumed |
| V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4: Waste Generation and Effluents V-4-3: Wastewater Treatment Sludge per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-4: Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) L-1: Benefits as Percentage of Payroil Expense (%) L-1: Work Related Re-Education and/or Training (%) L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-3-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/s) L-3: Society Production per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-3: Wastewater Treatment Sludge Admount of wastewater treatment sludge used per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of secidents in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of complaints from the local community per year L-3: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Complaints from Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | Added (lb/\$) | year |
| V-4; Wastewater Treatment Sludge per Value Added (lb/S) C-4-4: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/S) Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of mon-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and relations per year Amount of money spent with indirect community per year Amount of money spent with indirect community per year Amount of money spent with indirect community per year Amount of money spent with indirect | | V 4. W | Production per Value Added (lb/\$) | dollar of value added per year |
| L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3: Number of Legal Actions per Value Added (mb/s) Amount of hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Amount of money spent on work related re-education and/or Training (%) Number of promotions vs total employed per year Number of promotions vs total employed per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of complaints from the local community per year | | Generation and | | Amount of wastewater treatment sludge used per |
| V-4-5: Non-Hazardous Waste Generated per Value Added (lb/\$) Amount of non-hazardous waste generated per dollar of value added per year | | Effluents | V-4-4: Hazardous Waste Generated per | Amount of hazardous waste generated per dollar of |
| L: Social Sustainability L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace (%) L-2: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year L-3: Society L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-2: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-1: Workplace L-1: Semployee Turnover (%) L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Number of promotions vs total employed per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-1: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) Amount of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (S/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-1: Workplace L-1: Semployee Turnover (%) L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Number of promotions vs total employed per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-1: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) Amount of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (S/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | T | | |
| L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/s) L-3: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of Amount of money spent on work related re-education and training vs total payroll expenses per year Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) Number of complaints from customers Per year Number of complaints from customers Per year Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1: Workplace L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\frac{\(\mathbb{Syr}{\)}}{\}\) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of Number of complaints from customers Percentage and training vs total payroll expenses per year Resigned and redundant employees vs total employed per year Number of promotions vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Number of complaints from customers Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Number of complaints from customers Number of complaints from customers Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Number of complaints from customers Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Number of complaints from customers Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) Number of complaints from customers Number of complaints from customers | | | | |
| L-1: Workplace L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) L-1-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Workplace (/yr) L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Load Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of Stakeholder of Number of complaints from Customers (/yr) Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Per year Number of complaints from customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | L-1: Workplace | | |
| L: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Society L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3: Sumbover (1/6) L-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-4: Promotion Rate (%) L-1-5: Working Hours Lost as Percentage of Total Hours Worked (%) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-1: Number of Process Safety Reviews (/yr) L-2-2: Number of Accidents in Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year L-3: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | and/or Training (%) | |
| L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Suffer and Health L-3: Society L-3-1: Number of Complaints from Customers (Vyr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Customers (Vyr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Amount of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Number of complaints from customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | L-1-3: Employee Turnover (%) | per year |
| L: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Society L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Society L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Society L-3: Number of Accidents in Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year L-3: Society L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Number of complaints from customers Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Number of promotions vs total employed per year |
| L: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-3: Society L-3: Society L-3-4: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of process safety reviews per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Working hours lost vs total hours worked per year |
| L: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2: Safety and Health L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$/yr\$) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of saccidents in the workplace per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from Customers Per year Number of complaints from Customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | L-2-1: Number of Process Safety | Number of process safety reviews per year |
| L: Social Sustainability L-2: Safety and Health L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$/yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of accidents in the workplace per year Number of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Per year Number of complaints from customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| Health L-2-3: Chemical Leakage in Plant (/yr) L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\frac{1}{3}\)-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of chemical leakage in plant per year Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Per year Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Number of accidents in the workplace per year |
| L-2-4: Human Health Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\(^{y}\)r) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\(^{y}\)r) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of people in the workforce and local community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Preyear Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Amount of chemical leakage in plant per year |
| L-2-4: Human Heatin Burden (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\sigma'yr) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Customers (/yr) Community with carcinogenic health conditions as a result of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Prevent Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-3: Society L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\(^{y}y\) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of the plant per dollar of value added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Number of complaints from customers Purple (Amount of money spent with indirect community per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-3-1: Number of Stakeholder Meetings (/yr) L-3-2: Indirect Community Benefit (\$\frac{9}{y}r\$) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of vatue added per year Number of stakeholder meetings per year Amount of money spent with indirect community benefit per year Number of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers per year Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | (Carcinogenic) per Value Added (/\$) | |
| L-3: Society Meetings (/yr) | | | | result of the plant per donar of value added per year |
| L-3: Society (\$\sqrt{yr}\) L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (\sqrt{yr}\) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (\sqrt{yr}\) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | L-3: Society | Meetings (/yr) | |
| L-3: Society L-3-3: Number of Complaints from Local Community (/yr) L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Vumber of complaints from the local community per year Number of complaints from customers Customers (/yr) L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | I . | |
| Local Community (/yr) year L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) per year L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| Local Community (/yr) year L-3-4: Number of Complaints from Customers (/yr) Per year L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | Number of complaints from the local community per |
| Customers (/yr) per year L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | | |
| L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Number of legal actions per dollar of | | | L-3-4: Number of Complaints from | Number of complaints from customers |
| L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per Value Added (/yr) Number of legal actions per dollar of value added per year | | | | |
| Value Added (/yr) value added per year | | | L-3-5: Number of Legal Actions per | Number of legal actions per dollar of |
| | | | Value Added (/yr) | value added per year |