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ABSTRACT

For more than forty years, academic and industrial researchers from all over the world have taken a strong interest in alternative processes for hard chromium plating using hexavalent ions. The benefits of substitute processes are obvious, as the toxic and carcinogenic aspects of hexavalent chromium are well known. Much effort has been spent on researching processes using dry research technology (HVOF, PVD-CVD, thermal treatment,), as well as wet technology, such as electrodeposition or electroless deposition of metals or composites (nickel or cobalt with or w/o particles). Currently HVOF and electroless nickel (with particles) processes seem to be the most promising solutions. However some drawbacks (non-line-of-sight surfaces, hardness, friction coefficient, corrosion or wear resistance, adhesion) hinder the development of these methods and their establishment as the universal solution. The use of trivalent chromium as the ion for chromium plating seems to be intrinsically the most interesting mode to obtain hard deposits, nearly with conditions and characteristics similar to the hexavalent mode. There have been many attempts over past decades to use trivalent chromium ions in different chemical compositions of aqueous solutions, ionic liquids and different current waveforms, yet not meeting the expected results. The objective of this paper is to describe, on a historical and technical level, these different attempts, which have been made in the past. General characteristics, results, advantages and drawbacks of the different processes will be described from the first tries, to the very most recent development.
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General aspects

Chromium electrodeposition is generally achieved by electrochemical reduction of hexavalent solutions containing catalysts such as sulfate, fluorosilicic ions or proprietary catalysts at a temperature of about 50 to 60°C and a cathodic current density between 10 to 60 A/dm². Due to the high acidity and toxicity of hexavalent ions, these hexavalent chromium solutions present important drawbacks. However, the properties of chromium deposits are very desirable in many applications. Until now, it appears that all of these properties are difficult (or impossible) to obtain by a single alternative process. Thus, it seems that the best alternative to chromium deposits is a chromium deposit itself, but obtained in an environmentally-friendly manner from trivalent chromium solutions. On the other hand, different alternative processes have been explored in attempts to substitute for hexavalent chromium electrodeposition. A (non-limitative) list could be given following the different publications already done on the subject.

Technologies using physical processes or dry processes have been developed and continue to be subjects of interest. In particular, these alternative processes have been studied in the now-completed HCAT (Hard Chromium Alternative Team) and the current ASETSDefense program (Advance Surface Engineering Technologies for a Sustainable Defense; www.aetsdefense.org), which is “a Department of Defense (DoD) initiative sponsored by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program and the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program. Its objective is to facilitate the implementation of new, environmentally friendly technologies for surface engineering (coatings and surface treatments) by providing ready access to background information and technical data from research, development, test and evaluation efforts as well as the status of approvals and implementations.”
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One part of the program is devoted to the substitution of chromium plating in aeronautic and aerospace applications. The high velocity oxygen-fuel (HVOF) thermal spray process seems to be the most promising method but other methods and processes have been tested and developed, including physical vapor deposition (PVD) processes and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes. Certain drawbacks have slowed the development of these processes, in particular, non-line of sight surfaces, small parts, high costs, vacuum systems, adhesion problems and in some cases environmental concerns due to powder toxicity.

At the same time, processes using liquid solutions (electroplating or electroless plating) have been developed to replace the use of hexavalent chromium. One of the most important in the field is the program developed in recent years by Concurrent Technology Corporation (Johnstown, Pa.) in which different processes on the market have been tested, including:

- Processes using trivalent chromium solutions (results have not been published)
- Processes using other metals (other than chromium) with and without nickel salts.

In the latter case, different processes have been compared, including Ni(P), Ni-Co(P), Co(P or B), as electrolytic or electroless processes with and without codeposited particles, as well as micro- and nano-crystalline cobalt. Current results indicate that electroless nickel with codeposited particles seems to be the most promising process in terms of physical characteristics.

Other processes present concerns. In terms of the deposit characteristics, adhesion, wear and corrosion resistance fall short of hard chromium. For the processes themselves, increasing chemical complexity of the solution, cost of the products, complex anodic reactions and the degradation of compounds with the accompanying formation of by-products are among the factors of concern.

Considering all of this, the use of trivalent chromium solution seems intrinsically to be the most attractive process and many attempts have been made to substitute hexavalent chromium processes with trivalent chromium ones.

The first chromium metal was obtained by electrodeposition in France. This first result was realized by electrolysis of an aqueous trivalent chromium solution, published and patented in 1848 by Junot de Bussy. In 1854, Bunsen studied the influence of the cathodic current density on chromium deposition using a hot chromium chloride solution, with separation (porous pot) of anodic and cathodic compartments. The importance of the separation between anodic and cathodic compartments was considered a major discovery for a long time and was further developed by others researchers, including Placet and Bonnet in 1901, Voisin in 1910 and Recoura in 1913.

Currently, decorative trivalent chromium processes are available worldwide and deposits are currently “quasi” indistinguishable in color from hexavalent deposits. The solutions used are aqueous solutions with primarily chloride and/or sulfate trivalent chromium salts with various additives acting as complexants (formates, thiocyanates, hypophosphites, etc.) and different types of wetting agents. A separator may or may not be used between anodic and cathodic compartments.

Until now, no aqueous trivalent chromium process has been available to obtain a hard thick chromium deposit on an industrial scale. Why is it so difficult to deposit hard chromium from trivalent salts? The many reasons to explain the lack of success have been enumerated in literature. Among numerous publications, the reasons which seem the most important are:

- The high potential of Cr³⁺ reduction to the metallic state (-0.744 V_{\text{NHE}}), which explains in all cases the high hydrogen evolution at the cathode.
- The stability of the hexa-coordinated (bipyramidal-shaped) aqueous trivalent chromium complex. The exchange kinetics of water molecules from Cr³⁺ complexes have been evaluated and found to be 11 orders of magnitude weaker than for nickel complexes.
- Chromium hydroxides quickly precipitate and this phenomenon increases as the cathode pH increases with hydrogen evolution.
- The formation of compounds by olation or oxolation as a function of the solution pH and trivalent chromium concentration, in particular near the cathode when the pH increases due to hydrogen gas formation by reduction of protons.
Process classes

What follows is a description of several processes which have been considered in overcoming the difficulties described above.

**Electroless chromium**

Before describing the various electrolytic processes, it is clear that electroless deposition of chromium would be a very suitable method for understandable reasons.

In 1955, published work showed that it was possible to deposit chromium using an electroless method. Two types of aqueous solution were disclosed using hypophosphite and citrate ions, chromium chloride and chromium fluoride with or without glacial acetic acid. Solutions were operated at 71-88°C (160-190°F). The deposition of electroless chromium was found to be better on copper or brass substrates. For steel plating, it was recommended that a copper flash be deposited before electroless chromium plating. Also, the solution, and so the process, was very sensitive to foreign ion contamination.

**Electrolytic chromium**

Currently, two main process classes have been developed to overcome the difficulties in obtaining thick hard trivalent chromium deposits:

- Processes using complexing agents to complex trivalent chromium ions in aqueous solution. The processes are used in decorative applications. The complexation objectives are:
  - to obtain lower potential reduction of Cr<sup>3+</sup> to metallic state.
  - to prevent the formation of hexa-aquo-coordinated trivalent chromium complexes.
- Processes using the chemical reduction of hexavalent chromium to obtain electrolytic solutions of high Cr<sup>3+</sup> concentrations. Cr(VI) reduction could be done using SO<sub>2</sub> or alcohol, to obtain trivalent chromium ions. In general, the trivalent chromium is not contained within very stable complexes.

It is interesting to note that using reduction processes allows one to have trivalent chromium solutions with high salt concentration at a low pH.

**Processes using complexing agents.**

These processes are used in decorative trivalent chromium applications, but they have been extended to obtain hard chromium layers on a laboratory scale. These baths use complexing agents such as formate, thiocyanate or hypophosphite, in some cases with additives such as glycolic acid, citrates or sulfamates. For each of these compositions, the conditions of deposition, including deposition rate, throwing and covering power, microhardness and texture, vary.

For solution using formates, several formulations have been proposed. In general, the chromium salt used is chromium chloride with certain additives. A listing of the various formulations follows. This is not an exhaustive list but rather a general listing of the general complexant formulations is given. In a later section, the characteristics of trivalent chromium processes using pulsed and/or cyclic current or using brush plating are presented.

**General formate process:**

*Solution composition:*

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CrCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;•6H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>100 - 150 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCOOH</td>
<td>50 - 80 ml/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl + NaCl</td>
<td>70 - 100 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;BO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10 - 40 g/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wetting agent*

*Operating conditions:*

- pH
- 0.1 - 1.0
- Cathodic current density
- 20 - 100 A/dm<sup>2</sup>
- Temperature
- 20 - 30°C
**Glycolic acid or citrate acid:**

*Solution composition:*

- **CrCl₃•6H₂O**  100 g/L
- **HCOOH**  30 mL/L
- **NH₄Cl**  80 g/L
- **Glycolic acid**  35 g/L or **Sodium citrate**, 95 g/L
- **H₃BO₃**  40 g/L

*Operating conditions:*

- **pH**  1.5
- **Cathodic current density**  30 - 50 A/dm²
- **Temperature**  20 - 50°C

**Sulfamates:**

*Solution composition for a formate solution with sulfamate additives:*

- **CrCl₃•6H₂O**  125 g/L
- **KCr(SO₄)₂**  25 g/L
- **HCOOH**  60 mL/L
- **NH₄Cl**  80 g/L
- **NH₄NH₂SO₃**  180 g/L
- **Wetting agent**

*Operating conditions:*

- **pH**  1.5
- **Cathodic current density**  10 - 20 A/dm²
- **Temperature**  20 - 25°C

**Glycine:**

- **CrCl₃•6 H₂O**  210 g/L
- **Glycin**  1.0M
- **NaCl**  30 g/L
- **NH₄Cl**  30 g/L
- **H₃BO₃**  35 g/L
- **AlCl₃**  50 g/L
- **Wetting agent**

*Operating conditions:*

- **pH**  0.1 - 1.0
- **Cathodic current density**  20 - 70 A/dm²
- **Temperature**  30 - 50°C

**Hypophosphites:**

- **CrCl₃•6 H₂O**  30 - 65 g/L
- **Na₃H₂PO₂**  200 g/L
- **NaF**  4 g/L
- **NH₄Cl**  320 g/L
- **H₃BO₃**  15 g/L
- **Wetting agent**

*Operating conditions:*

- **pH**  2.0 - 5.5
- **Cathodic current density**  2.0 - 50 A/dm²
- **Temperature**  25 - 35°C
**Thiocyanates:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂(SO₄)₃•6H₂O</td>
<td>30 - 90 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSCN</td>
<td>25 - 80 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SO₄</td>
<td>200 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>20 - 40 g/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wetting agent**

**Operating conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>2.0 - 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic current density</td>
<td>2 - 10 A/dm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>20 - 40°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, these deposits have a microhardness of about 700 HV₁₀₀, a cathodic efficiency lower than for hexavalent chromium processes and thus a very slow rate of deposition (0.2 to 0.4 µm/min). However the covering power and the cathodic current density zone in which fair metallic chromium deposition takes place, is more important for those processes than for hexavalent ones.

**Processes using trivalent salts obtained by the reduction of hexavalent chromium ions.**

**Reduction by SO₂:**

The first application was done in 1946 by the U.S. Bureau of Mines. This process allows the preparation of chromium metal by electrolytic deposition with anodic and cathodic compartment separation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>250 g/L (obtained by the reduction of Na₂CrO₄ by SO₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
<td>40 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SO₄</td>
<td>27 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NH₄)₂S₂O₈</td>
<td>100 - 200 mg/L (constant addition, function of electrolysis time)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operating conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic current density</td>
<td>6.0 A/dm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>27 - 42°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reduction by alcohol:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CrCl₃</td>
<td>130 - 180 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>50 - 100 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>30 - 50 g/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operating conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>~0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic current density</td>
<td>60 - 120 A/dm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>50°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The process allows deposition with high efficiency (up to 30%). Deposits have a microhardness of about 1000 HV₁₀₀, but the throwing and covering power are lower than other processes. However these processes are interesting because of their high rate of deposition (2.0 - 3.0 µm/min). The bath obtained by the methanol reduction method was developed in our laboratory. However, it is very important to note that this process is dangerous and hazardous during the stage of preparation of trivalent chromium solution, due to the high exothermic reaction of hexavalent chromium reduction by alcohol.

**Other process technologies:**

Some interesting studies on the influence of the current waveform shape on the deposition of trivalent chromium have been reported. The results depend on the shape of the cathodic current waveform. The influence of the first deposited layer and the solution-cathode interface has been clearly demonstrated.¹⁵,¹⁶
In general the electrolyte in these works was a formate system complexed with different additives. Typical operating conditions were: Current density, 30 A/dm²; \( T_c \), 80%; \( T_a \), 20%; Frequency, 100 Hz. The current efficiency was 30 - 35% and the microhardness was 850 HV₁₀₀.

Brush plating applications have also been disclosed which allow the deposition of a chromium layer on substrates using mobile systems.¹⁷,¹⁸ This application could be of major interest in the future for in situ repair of chromium layers after wear or abrasion, or after deposition.

The operating conditions for the proprietary formulation** are as follows:
- Cathode current density 93 - 232 A/dm² (864 - 2160 A/ft²)
- Voltage 4 - 15 V
- Temperature 71 - 77°C (160 - 170°F)
  (for decorative applications, room temperature)
- Anode-to-cathode movement 40 - 60 ft²/min

** Organic solvents: DMF and ionic liquids

During the early 1970s, processes using organic solvents (or mixed with water) were proposed, in particular one consisting of dimethyl formamide (DMF) as a polar aprotic solvent which decreases the formation and stability of the aquo-complex with Cr⁺³ ions and \( \text{H}_2 \) gas evolution. The results are very interesting but due to the toxicity of using large quantities of DMF, industrial development has not been pursued to any significant extent.

More recently, following the development of the use of ionic liquids for electroplating during the IONMET European research program (ended in 2009), research was done on hard chromium plating using trivalent chromium salts.¹⁹ Using a mixed solution of CrCl₃•6(H₂O) and choline chloride,*** hard chromium layers were deposited on steel. Operating conditions were as follows:
- \( \text{CrCl}_3 / \text{choline chloride} \) ratio 1 / 2.5
- Temperature 40°C
- Cathodic current density 15 - 20 A/dm²
- Anode Platinized or Ir₂O₃ titanium grid

The main results were:
- Metallic and bright uniform appearance (darker than hexavalent processes)
- Cathode efficiency ~ 30 - 40 %
- Deposition rate ~ 0.7 to 1.0 µm/min (at 15 A/dm²)
- Hardness ~ 600 to 700 HV₁₀₀

Cross-sections of the deposit are shown in Fig. 1. The process seems to be robust and a replenishment method has been defined following intensive use in the laboratory.

** Influence of thermal treatment

Some information has been published on the increase of hardness in trivalent chromium by heat treatment.²⁰,²¹ In particular, in a research grant sponsored and published by AESF²² involved work in this area.

Due to chromium carbide (Cr₆C₃) and oxide (Cr₂O₃) formation during heat treatment (300-350°C, 30 min), the microhardness was increased to 1700-1800 HV₁₀₀. Under the same conditions, the microhardness of hexavalent chromium deposits decreased, as shown in Fig. 2.

---

** Liquid Development Company, Cleveland, Ohio.

*** Choline refers to quaternary ammonium salts containing the N,N,N-trimethylmethanolammonium cation.
It was found through x-ray diffraction studies\textsuperscript{23} that as soon as the temperature reaches 290-300°C, a structural modification takes place and there is a precipitation of chromium carbide. The chromium carbides formed prevent dislocation movement and thus an increase of hardness occurs (pin effect).

From Fig. 2, it appears clearly that the variation is fundamentally distinct, and in the case of trivalent chromium deposits, the variation is similar to variation of microhardness versus temperature for electroless nickel.

\textbf{Conclusions}

The history of alternative processes to hexavalent hard chromium plating is a long but generally not successful story. The substitution of hexavalent chromium processes by trivalent ones seems to be the best way but currently, no processes have reached significant industrial development. Currently, the ionic liquid processes seem to be the most promising, but the adhesion of chromium layer, as for all the trivalent processes, seems to be an obstacle for a large industrial application. Where strong adhesion is not a factor, there is potential for some development.

However, the question remains as to the reason for a substitute for hexavalent chromium in hard chromium plating process. After deposition, there is no (or very little) Cr(VI) on the parts and so the only domain where the “Cr(VI) risk” is present is in the
If regulation in the job shop is well defined and respected to avoid contamination of workers, there is no real or serious reason to banish hard chromium plating with Cr(VI) in the first place.
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